

No Child Goes Hungry FPG Meeting Minutes

Time and Place: Tuesday 7th January 2020, 14:00-15:30, Library City Hall

Present: Sue Turner (Chair); Annie Oliver, Barny Haughton; Ellie Milone; Sally Hogg; Andy Street

Attending: Sacha Korsec, Lucy Gilbert, Ronnie Brown

Apologies: BBRC FPG rep pending, Archie Morrell, Debbie Watson, Samira Musse, Tony Page

1. Welcome & apologies

- Introductions were given and apologies made

2. Declarations of interest

- Andy's conflict of interest included REDACTED and all those projects that mention REDACTED (Lucy noted she was in touch with Phoebe from REDACTED who is interested in connecting with potential projects that show interest)
- Sally noted REDACTED and REDACTED as potential conflicts.
- Annie noted Barton Hill Settlement partners as potential conflict of interests.
- Ronnie noted that there are potentially conflicts of interests with all panel members but as this is an advisory board and the final decision is not being made these are less important. The final decision is Quartet's.

3. Minutes and actions from last meeting

- Minutes accepted
- Actions
 - Action 4: [Sue & Andy to meet with a representative of Fare Share to discuss joint message] to be arranged – carried forward
 - Action 5: Complete - Closed
 - Action 6: [Agree standard practices across all FPGs for grant decisions] No formal work has been done – to be carried forward & may be overtaken by move to have one grant decision body for all FPGs.
 - Action 7: Complete – Closed
 - Action 8: Complete - Closed

4. Consideration of Expressions of Interest for the NCGH grant programme

- Sue reminded panel of the aim of NCGH grant program including tackling underlying causes for lack of food nutrition, investing in new and transformative project for systems change.

- Barny noted that none of these projects would be completely new as they would want to build on existing research/activities.
- Panel discussed possibility of bringing projects together – is this the role of this panel to foster the creation of partnerships?
- Panel discussed method to filter expressions of interest – panel agreed to go through definite yes options, definite no options and then go through the rest one by one.
- The following decisions were made:

Inviting to apply

- **REDACTED** (12)
- **REDACTED** (5)
- **REDACTED** (18)
- **REDACTED** (10)
- **REDACTED** (4)
- **REDACTED** (8)

Not inviting to apply

- **REDACTED** (11)
- **REDACTED** (7)
- **REDACTED** (15)
- **REDACTED** (25)
- **REDACTED** (16)
- **REDACTED** (21)
- **REDACTED** (3)
- **REDACTED** (9)
- **REDACTED** (17)
- **REDACTED** (13)
- **REDACTED** (19)
- **REDACTED** (23)
- **REDACTED** (2)
- **REDACTED** (6)
- **REDACTED** (20)
- **REDACTED** (14)
- **REDACTED** (1)
- **REDACTED** (22)
- **REDACTED** (24)

5. Next steps

- Lucy to ask chosen projects to put in full applications and assess the projects.
- Sue to look through pro bono requests and feed in to the City Funds pro bono group.

- Panel to let Lucy know if they can provide support/help for unsuccessful projects outside this funding.
- Grants panel to be set up and decide on final projects to receive funding (if not set up in time this panel to provide further advisory role and help make decision)
- Andy & Sally to discuss opportunities to support all projects to learn from each other.

6. AOB

- Next meeting: tbc
- Need for discussion to potentially bring together the 25 applications that were rejected but overlap with other projects.